

Examiner Name:	
Name of applicant:	
Degree:	
Title of Thesis:	

1. Do you approve the release of your identity to the applicant?

Yes No

Any confidential comments not for release to the applicant should be made on a separate page and marked 'In Confidence'. Please be aware that under the Freedom of Information Act it might not always be possible to prevent disclosure of such information.

2. Recommendation: I recommend that

- the applicant be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
The Examiner may specify this category for a thesis that contains only errors of presentation or non-substantive deficiencies which are easily amended. The University Graduate Studies Committee will require that the candidate make such amendments as pointed out by the Examiner.
- the applicant not be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy
The Examiner may specify this category for a thesis that contains substantive errors or deficiencies which cannot easily be amended. The candidate will not have the right to resubmit the thesis.

3. Do you wish to recommend that an oral examination be held?

Yes No

An Examiner may recommend to the University Graduate Studies Committee an oral examination of the candidate to clarify aspects of the thesis. In this instance, the Examiner shall clearly specify the purpose of the oral examination and the nature of the questions to be put to the candidate. An oral examination will require the participation of at least two examiners, either in person or by teleconference/video conference, and will involve a brief presentation by the candidate followed by a series of questions from the examiners.

Signature of Examiner: _____

Date: _____

Grounds for Recommendation

Please state concisely the grounds on which the recommendation is based, indicating fully the strengths and weaknesses of the thesis and any amendments which may be necessary. Please attach additional pages as required. For further information please refer to the *"Advice for Examiners"*.

Example Only